

DEAN S. KRISTY (State Bar No. 157646)  
 KEVIN P. MUCK (State Bar No. 120918)  
 FELIX S. LEE (State Bar No. 197084)  
 ALICE L. JENSEN (State Bar No. 203327)  
 CHRISTOPHER A. GARCIA (State Bar No. 215184)  
 FENWICK & WEST LLP  
 275 Battery Street  
 San Francisco, California 94111  
 Telephone: (415) 875-2300  
 Facsimile: (415) 281-1350  
 e-mail address: [kmuck@fenwick.com](mailto:kmuck@fenwick.com)

DAN K. WEBB (*Pro Hac Vice* 2/16/05)  
 ROBERT Y. SPERLING (*Pro Hac Vice* 2/16/05)  
 ROBERT L. MICHELS (*Pro Hac Vice* 2/16/05)  
 RONALD S. BETMAN (*Pro Hac Vice* 2/16/05)  
 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP  
 35 West Wacker Drive  
 Chicago, Illinois 60601-9703  
 Telephone: (312) 558-5600  
 Facsimile: (312) 558-5700  
 e-mail address: [rbetman@winston.com](mailto:rbetman@winston.com)

Attorneys for Defendants Cisco Systems, Inc., John T. Chambers, Larry R. Carter, Carol A. Bartz, Steven M. West, Edward R. Kozel, Donald T. Valentine, Robert L. Puette, Judith L. Estrin, Gary J. Daichendt, Donald J. Listwin, Carl Redfield and Michelangelo Volpi

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 SAN JOSE DIVISION

In re CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.  
 SECURITIES LITIGATION

Case No. C-01-20418 JW

CLASS ACTION

This Document Relates To:  
 ALL ACTIONS.

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER  
 MODIFYING PAGE LIMITS ON MOTIONS  
 FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

This stipulation is entered into by and among: (i) plaintiffs Central States, Southeast and Southwest Areas Pension Fund, Carpenters Pension Fund of Illinois, Plumbers & Pipefitters National Pension Fund, and Alexander Nehring (collectively, "plaintiffs"); (ii) defendants Cisco

1 Systems, Inc. ("Cisco"), John T. Chambers, Larry R. Carter, Carol A. Bartz, Steven M. West,  
2 Edward R. Kozel, Donald T. Valentine, Robert L. Puette, Judith L. Estrin, Gary J. Daichendt,  
3 Donald J. Listwin, Carl Redfield, and Michelangelo Volpi (collectively, "Cisco Defendants"); and  
4 (iii) defendant PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC").

5 WHEREAS, defendants will be filing motions for summary judgment on or before March  
6 13, 2006, pursuant to the scheduling order previously entered by the Court;

7 WHEREAS, the issues that will be addressed on summary judgment require expanded  
8 briefing, given the breadth and complexity of the issues addressed, especially inasmuch as: (i) the  
9 operative complaint is 198 pages long, and contains claims asserted against 14 different  
10 defendants; (ii) the case involves allegations relating to numerous alleged statements over a class  
11 period of fifteen months (*i.e.*, November 1999 to February 2001); (iii) all defendants, including  
12 each of the 13 Cisco Defendants, have issues unique to each of them that require separate  
13 discussion; (iv) the alleged damages in this action exceed \$6 billion; and (v) extensive fact and  
14 expert discovery has been undertaken in this case over approximately a three-year period;

15 WHEREAS, rather than burdening the Court with separate briefs filed by each of the  
16 moving defendants, the parties believe that the interests of judicial efficiency and economy would  
17 be furthered by the filing of a smaller number of briefs with expanded page limits, and have met  
18 and conferred on mutually agreeable limitations in that regard;

19 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and among the parties that:

20 1. The Cisco Defendants shall collectively be permitted to file briefs in support of  
21 their various summary judgment motions of up to one hundred fifteen (115) pages in cumulative  
22 length, not including tables, with such pages to be allocated among the Cisco Defendants' briefs  
23 in the manner they deem appropriate;

24 2. PwC may file one or more briefs in support of its summary judgment motions of  
25 up to sixty-six (66) pages in cumulative length, not including tables;

26 3. Plaintiffs shall collectively be permitted to file briefs in opposition to the Cisco  
27 Defendants' various summary judgment motions of up to one hundred fifteen (115) pages in  
28 cumulative length, not including tables, with such pages to be allocated among plaintiffs' briefs in

the manner they deem appropriate;

4. Plaintiffs shall collectively be permitted to file one or more briefs in opposition to PwC's summary judgment motions of up to sixty-six (66) pages in cumulative length, not including tables;

5. The Cisco Defendants shall collectively be permitted to file reply briefs in support of their various summary judgment motions of up to sixty (60) pages in cumulative length, not including tables, with such pages to be allocated among the Cisco Defendants' briefs in the manner they deem appropriate;

6. PwC may file one or more reply briefs in support of its summary judgment motions of up to forty (40) pages in cumulative length, not including tables.

Dated: March 1, 2006

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER  
RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Spencer Burkholz

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Dated: March 1, 2006

FENWICK & WEST LLP  
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Kevin P. Muck

Attorneys for Defendants Cisco Systems, Inc., John T. Chambers, Larry R. Carter, Carol A. Bartz, Steven M. West, Edward R. Kozel, Donald T. Valentine, Robert L. Puette, Judith L. Estrin, Gary J. Daichendt, Donald J. Listwin, Carl Redfield and Michelangelo Volpi

Dated: March 1, 2006

HELLER EHRMAN WHITE AND McAULLIFE LLP

By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Carol Lynn Thompson


Attorneys for Defendant PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

FENWICK & WEST LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
MOUNTAIN VIEW

**ORDER**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: March 8, 2006

  
The Honorable James Ware  
United States District Judge

FENWICK & WEST LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
MOUNTAIN VIEW